UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

FILED

T	0. —		2000 AUG -5 AM 11: 16	
	O: U. S. DISTR	ICT JUDGE / U. S. MAGISTR	ATE JUDGE PROBLEM OF TRICT COURT	
		Deputy Clerk	RECEIVED DATES 7/14/2008	
		25 LAB (POR) DOCUMENT F	ILED BY: Petitioner DEPUTY	
D ₁	ASE TITLE: <u>Burto</u>	n v. Director		
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Notice and Request (2 nd Request) for Copies of the Documents				
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:				
1	Local Rule	Discrepancy	3, 1	
	5.1	Missing time and date on motion	and/or supporting 1	
	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued. Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions			
	7.1	Missing table of contents	th restrictions	
	15.1	Amended pleading not complete i	n itself	
	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a	COUrt order	
	Supplemental documents require court order Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest			
X	OTHER: Original request still pending (Docket #16).			
(Docket #10).				
ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE				
	The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.			
	The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.			
	Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers			
	Counsel is advised to Local Rule 83.1	hat any further failure to comply wi	th the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant	t
	01.1.0	CHAMBER	S OF: Pil	
	Dated: S//O8 cc: All Parties	By: <u>S</u>		